

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.        | FILING DATE                     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/667,754             | 09/22/2003                      | Kuniya Sonehara      | 032405.155          | 1375             |
| 25461 7                | 25461 7590 10/02/2006           |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |
|                        | MBRELL & RUSSELL                |                      | MARKOFF, ALEXANDER  |                  |
|                        | ROMENADE II<br>REE STREET, N.E. |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
| ATLANTA, GA 30307-3592 |                                 | 1746                 |                     |                  |

DATE MAILED: 10/02/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   | Application No.   | Applicant(s)     |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summany   | 10/667,754  | SONEHARA, KUNIYA |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner  | Art Unit         |  |  |  |  |
|   | Alexander Markoff   | 1746             |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address<br>Period for Reply   |   |                  |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). |   |                  |  |  |  |  |
| Status  |   |                  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 De  | ece <u>mber 2003</u> .  |                  |  |  |  |  |
| ,   | action is non-final.  |                  |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar   | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is |                  |  |  |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.   |   |                  |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims   |   |                  |  |  |  |  |
| 4) Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application.   |   |                  |  |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw   | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  |                  |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.   |   |                  |  |  |  |  |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.  | 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.  |                  |  |  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.   | 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.   |                  |  |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or   | r election requirement.   |                  |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers  |   |                  |  |  |  |  |
| 9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.   |   |                  |  |  |  |  |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>22 September 2003</u> is/are: a) accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.   |   |                  |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the   | drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See   | 37 CFR 1.85(a).  |  |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  |   |                  |  |  |  |  |
| 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  |   |                  |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |   |                  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>   |   |                  |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)   |   |                  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  |   |                  |  |  |  |  |
| Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date   | 5) Notice of Informal Pa  |                  |  |  |  |  |

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

1. It is noted that the claims are directed to distinct inventions, which can be properly restricted. No restriction requirement is made this time because the same prior art is applied to all inventions. However, the applicants are advised that if the claims would be amended to put serious burden on the examiner such requirement could be issued.

### **Priority**

2. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

## Specification

3. The specification is objected to because of the following:

The specification at page 1, line 13 states that a conventional apparatus for paint recovery is presented on Fig. 1. At the same time the specification at page 10, line 9 states that what is presented on Fig. 1 is an embodiment according to the instant invention. Clarification and/or corrections are required.

It is noted that if the conventional apparatus and the apparatus of the invention are indeed the same and indeed both correspond to what is presented on Fig. 1 the claims should be interpreted as anticipated by the prior art admitted by the applicants.

Application/Control Number: 10/667,754 Page 3

Art Unit: 1746

### **Drawings**

4. Figure 4 or Figures 1 and 4 should be designated by a legend such as —Prior Art- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected
drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action
to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled
"Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct
any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the
applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office
action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 6. Claims 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential structural cooperative relationships of elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the necessary structural connections. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted structural cooperative relationships are: the relationship between the recited parts of the apparatus of the invention. The claims recite a number of "means", but fail to provide structural cooperative relationships of these means with each other.

Application/Control Number: 10/667,754 Page 4

Art Unit: 1746

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 8. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by JP 07-148451.

JP 07-148451 teaches an apparatus comprising: first separation tank (8), agents adding means (11 and 12), first taking out means (13), circulating means (20), second separation tank (9), second taking out means (19, 18), foreign matter separating tank (26), stirring means (38) in the tank (26), foreign matter separating means ((26F), third taking out means (32, 31), and centrifugation paint separation means (27). JP 07-148451 also teaches a method which comprises the claimed steps. See entire document, especially Drawing 1 and the related description.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of

Art Unit: 1746

the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

- 11. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 12. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 07-148451.

JP 07-148451 teaches an apparatus as claimed except for a scraper in tank (26).

However, JP 07-148451 teaches providing a scraper (19) in tank (9) to prevent overflowing or raising the level of the floating matter above predetermined level. It would have been obvious to an ordinary artisan at the time the invention was made provide a scraper in tank (26) to prevent raising level of the floating matter above predetermined level to prevent recontamination of paint sludge in the tank with reasonable expectation of success because JP 07-148451 teaches scraper as conventional means for such purpose.

Application/Control Number: 10/667,754 Page 6

Art Unit: 1746

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alexander Markoff whose telephone number is 571-272-1304. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Barr can be reached on 571-272-1414. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Alexander Markoff Primary Examiner Art Unit 1746

AM

ALEXANDER MARKOFF
PRIMARY EXAMINER